# RESILIENT ROTATIONS: EXAMINING PRECIPITATION USE EFFICIENCY SOUTHERN PRAIRIES



Research has shown the benefits of diversifying crop rotations, and yet most Prairie farmers keep their rotations short and simple with cereals and oilseeds being intensively grown. For the past four years, researchers across Western Canada have compared different crop rotations to measure the drawbacks and benefits. This work is designed to help farmers make crop rotation decisions that are the best fit for their operation, based on local research.

Six different crop rotations were studied in Alberta, Saskatchewan and Manitoba to represent growing conditions in the southern Canadian Prairies.

This factsheet looks at the differences between rotations for precipitation use efficiency.

### MAKING THE MOST OF RAINFALL

With the growing unpredictability of Prairie weather, producers must make the most of the precipitation they receive. One way to measure how well different crop rotations use rainfall is to measure **precipitation use**efficiency – the total grain yield produced (expressed as canola equivalent yield) divided by the growing season rainfall.

Canola Equivalent Yield (CEY) allows for yield comparisons between different crop rotations by standardizing the crop rotation's yield based on the price ratio of different crop types relative to canola.



# PRECIPITATION USE EFFICIENCY AND RAINFALL AT STUDY LOCATIONS, 2018-2021

Location	Canola Equivalent Yield (2018-2021)		Precipitation from May 1 to August 31 in mm (% of long-term average)			
	kg CEY ha <sup>-1</sup> mm <sup>-1</sup>	bu CEY ac <sup>-1</sup> inch <sup>-1</sup>	2018	2019	2020	2021
Lethbridge, AB	6.2	2.8	122 (58%)	157 (74%)	229 (108%)	113 (53%)
Swift Current, SK	10.8	4.9	118 (50%)	205 (87%)	160 (68%)	147 (62%)

**Note:** In general, the research was done in years that were drier than the long-term average.

= Wetter than average = Average rainfall = Drier than average



# PRECIPITATION USE EFFICIENCY BY CROP **ROTATION IN SOUTHERN PRAIRIES, 2018-2021**

Rotation Treatment			Jsed Bas ig Condi	Precipitation Use Efficiency Ranking*		
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Lethbridge	Swift Current
Control	Fallow	Durum	Malt Barley	Durum		
Intensified	Lentil	Durum	Chickpea	Durum		
Diversified	Lentil	Canola	Pea	Durum		
Market Driven	Flax (Swift Current) Canola (Lethbridge)	Wheat	Lentil (Swift Current) Wheat (Lethbridge)	Feed Barley (Swift Current) Malt Barley (Lethbridge)	•	
High Risk	Soybean	Canary Seed (Swift Current) Corn (Lethbridge)	Faba Bean	Durum		
Soil Health	Forage Pea Green Manure	Barley Pea Intercrop	Faba Bean Barley Intercrop	Durum		

#### Precipitation Use Efficiency Ranking\*

= good precipitation use efficiency



= statistically lower use efficiency



= statistically lowest use efficiency

\*Precipitation Use Efficiency Ranking is calculated by location.



Northern Prairies



Southern Prairies



Red River Valley

## THE BOTTOM LINE

- · The intensified and market-driven rotations had the best precipitation use efficiency across sites in the southern Canadian Prairies.
- · The high-risk rotation consistently had the poorest precipitation use efficiency rotation in these growing regions.

### FOR MORE INFORMATION

More information on how these crop rotations stack up in terms of risk, economics and nutrient use will be covered in separate factsheets as part of this series.

These results are based on the first four years of the study. More robust results are expected if a second four-year cycle of the study is completed.

The factsheet is part of a series by Resilient Rotations - a project of the Integrated Crop Agronomy Cluster - led by Kui Liu, AAFC Swift Current. The project examines the benefits and drawbacks of different crop rotation options for farmers across Western Canada.

To find out more visit wgrf.ca/resilient-rotationsfactsheet/















